

1-1-2003

To the Editor

Bella Hass Weinberg

St. John's University - New York, prof_wberg@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ajlpublishing.org/jl>

 Part of the [Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education Commons](#), [Information Literacy Commons](#), [Jewish Studies Commons](#), and the [Reading and Language Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Weinberg, Bella Hass. 2003. "To the Editor." *Judaica Librarianship* 11: 3-4. doi:10.14263/2330-2976.1120.

Commentaries

To the Editor:

The special issue of LRTS entitled "What in the World . . . Cataloging on an International Scale" was both interesting and informative. I am writing to correct an imprecise statement in one of the papers (Aliprand 2000). On page 165 the author states: "LC practice is to always transcribe Hebrew unvocalized, even when vowels and marks of pronunciation (which are positioned on consonantal [sic] letters) appear on the source of information." (My reason for writing this letter is not to point out the split infinitive or the misspelling of consonantal in the quoted sentence.)

The sentence describes current LC practice accurately, but the conclusion of the paragraph—"So we've never been 100% faithful"—suggests that omitting Hebrew vowel points and diacritics has always been LC practice. As I pointed out in a survey of Anglo-American Hebraica cataloging practices that was originally presented at an international conference (Weinberg 1992, 14), LC used to faithfully transcribe [split infinitives sound good sometimes] vowel points from the title pages of Hebrew books (see figure 1).

The authors of a recent book on Hebrew cataloging (Lazinger and Adler 1998, 102-105) discuss this issue in the context of the interpretation of AACR2R rule 1.1B1 (1988, 18), which states, "Give accentuation and other diacritical marks that are present in the chief source of information." In two chapters, Lazinger and Adler (1998, 103, 162) quote a relevant point from the introduction to the proposed Hebraic character set for RLIN (Weinberg 1985): "[T]his proposal features a full set of vowel points and diacritics. . . . These special characters, are included . . . to enable the cataloger to record them when they occur in the work being cataloged." Since Ms. Aliprand is the staff member of the Research Libraries Group (RLG) who worked on implementing a complete Hebrew character set in RLIN, I am confi-

Rabinowitz, Shalom, 1859–1916.
 ... פונ'ם יאָרִיד. לעבענספעשרייבונגען. ניו יאָרק
 פערלאַג „וואַרהייט", 1916

v. front. (port.) 204^{cm}.

At head of title author's pseudonym שלום עליכם
 Title transliterated: Fun'm jarid.

1. Yiddish language—Texts.

Library of Congress PJ5165.R3
 Copyright A 434393

16-14359

Rabinowitz, Shalom, 1859–1916.
 ... מ'י אָדָם. תרגום י. ד. ברקוביץ ... ניר-יוֹרק, הוצאָה
 א. י. שטיבל, חר"פ.

[New York, The Stybel publishing house, 1920]

3 v. front. (ports.) 194^{cm}.

Author's pseud. שלום עליכם at head of title.
 Title transliterated: Hayye adam.

1. Berkowitz, Isaac Dob, 1885– tr.

Library of Congress
 Copyright A 604972-604974 2

21-776

Rabinowitz, Shalom, 1859–1916.
 ... אין אמעריקא. מאַטעל פייסי דעם חונ'ם און
 אַנדערע מעשיות. ניו יאָרק, פערלאַג „וואַרהייט", 1918

246 p. front. (port.) 204^{cm}. \$1.00

Author's pseudonym, שלום עליכם, at head of title.
 Title transliterated: In America.

Library of Congress
 Copyright A 494175

18-7527

Reproduced by permission of the American Library Association from *Library Resources & Technical Services*, pp. 71-72; copyright 2001 by the American Library Association.

Figure 1. Library of Congress catalog card featuring vowel points and diacritics transcribed from Hebrew and Yiddish title pages.

Source: A Catalog of books represented by Library of Congress printed cards issued to July 31, 1942. Paterson, N.J.: Rowman and Littlefield, 1963. Vol. 122, 317.

dent that she would want the historical record set straight. The relevant principle from RLG's work on non-Roman scripts is: "The character set must allow a cataloger to transcribe bibliographic data as fully and accurately as possible" (Aliprand 1987, 6). Ms. Aliprand modestly did not cite any of her prior papers on RLIN character sets or UNICODE.

The inclusion of vowel points and diacritics for languages such as Hebrew and Arabic is important to confirm the accuracy of Romanization, which may still be required in cataloging after Unicode becomes widely available. As veteran catalogers know, we often return to cataloging principles and practices that have been abandoned. One of the recommendations made at the Library of Congress's Bicentennial Conference on Bibliographic Control, held in November 2000, was "Explore steps to make AACR2 more truly international in scope and application." Perhaps in light of this recommendation, the Library of Congress will return to its policy of being faithful to the title page in regard to the transcription of vowel points and diacritics for consonantal scripts. —

Bella Hass Weinberg, Professor, Division of Library and Information Science, St. John's University, Jamaica, NY 11439

Works Cited

[AACR2R] *Anglo-American cataloguing rules*. 2nd ed., 1988 revision. Chicago: American Library Association, 1988.

Aliprand, Joan. "Hebrew on RLIN." *Judaica Librarianship* v. 3 (1987) pp. 5-16.

Aliprand, Joan. "The Unicode Standard: Its scope, design principles, and prospects for international cataloging." *Library Resources & Technical Services* v. 44 (2000), pp.160-167.

Lazinger, Susan S., and Elhanan Adler, eds. *Cataloging Hebrew materials in the online environment: A comparative study of American and Israeli approaches*. Englewood, Co.: Libraries Unlimited, 1998.

Weinberg, Bella Hass. *Proposed Hebraic character set for the Research Libraries Information Network*. Typescript. 1985.

Weinberg, Bella Hass. "Judaica and Hebraica cataloging: Anglo-American Traditions." *Judaica Librarianship* v. 6 (1992), pp. 13-23. Originally presented at the First International Conference of Judaica and Israeli Librarians, Jerusalem, July 3, 1990.

Guidelines for Reviewers

Book reviews are expected to include descriptions, evaluations, and critical comments (preferably in this order).

The descriptive part should acquaint the reader sufficiently with both the purpose and contents of the work. Data about the author, or additional information about the work (part of a series, illustrations, etc.), may be included in this part.

The evaluation of the material should consist of an objective examination of the contents, a spot-checking of sources, and summarization of findings (whether the work meets the stated objectives of the author, whether the work meets scholarly standards, etc.). Critical comments pertaining to the technical aspects of the work (arrangement of material, order of chapters, bibliography, etc.) may be included in this section.

Basic criticism, including the reviewer's opinions and final judgment of the work, should be relegated to the end of the review.

Note to publishers: Monographs are not reviewed in the journal, nor are such books received listed. Judaica publications other than reference books, works of professional interest, and new periodicals should be sent to the review editors for the *AJL Newsletter*. Cf. <http://www.jewishlibraries.org/ajlweb/publications/newsletter.htm>